

Approximation of analytic sets with proper projection by algebraic sets

Marcin Bilski¹

Abstract

Let X be an analytic subset of $U \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k such that the projection of X onto U is a proper mapping, where $U \subset \mathbf{C}^k$ is a Runge domain. We show that X can be approximated by algebraic sets.

Keywords Analytic set, algebraic set, Nash set, approximation
MSC (2000): 32C25

1 Introduction

The problem of approximation of analytic objects by simpler algebraic ones appears in a natural way in complex geometry and has attracted the attention of several mathematicians (see [1], [9], [10], [12], [13], [15], [16], [18], [19], [20]).

The aim of the present paper is to show that every purely k -dimensional analytic subset of $U \times \mathbf{C}^n$ whose projection onto U is a proper mapping, where U is a Runge domain in $\mathbf{C}^k$, can be approximated by purely k -dimensional algebraic sets (see Theorem 3.1). Here by a Runge domain we mean a domain of holomorphy $U \subset \mathbf{C}^k$ such that every function $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ can be uniformly approximated on every compact subset of U by polynomials in k complex variables (cf. [14], pp 36, 52, and note that in general a Runge domain need not be connected). The approximation is expressed in terms of the convergence of holomorphic chains i.e. analytic sets are treated as holomorphic chains with components of multiplicity one (see Section 2.2).

The starting point for our considerations is the fact that purely k -dimensional analytic subsets of $U \times \mathbf{C}^n$ with proper projection onto a Runge domain $U \subset \mathbf{C}^k$ can be approximated by complex Nash sets. (We discussed various methods of such approximation in [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8].) This allows to reduce the proof of Theorem 3.1 to the case where the approximated object is a complex Nash set. The problem in the reduced case is solved by Proposition 3.2.

Every point of a purely k -dimensional analytic subset X of some open $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^m$ has a neighborhood $U \subset \Omega$ such that, after a linear change of the coordinates, the projection of $X \cap U$ onto an open ball in $\mathbf{C}^k \times \{0\}^{m-k}$ is a proper mapping. Therefore Theorem 3.1 immediately implies that every analytic set can be locally approximated by algebraic ones in the sense of holomorphic chains (see Corollary 3.7).

¹M. Bilski: Institute of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University, Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland. e-mail: Marcin.Bilski@im.uj.edu.pl

An important question, strongly motivated by the fact that algebraic approximation is one of central techniques used in numerical computations, is when the presented methods lead to effective algorithms. This problem will be discussed in a forthcoming paper. Here let us only mention that for Nash approximations of analytic sets we described a constructive method in [6].

Note that the convergence of positive chains appearing Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to the convergence of currents of integration over the considered sets (see [11], pp. 141, 206-207). The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 preliminary material is presented whereas Section 3 contains the proofs of the main results.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Nash sets

Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbf{C}^n$ and let f be a holomorphic function on Ω . We say that f is a Nash function at $x_0 \in \Omega$ if there exist an open neighborhood U of x_0 and a polynomial $P : \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $P \neq 0$, such that $P(x, f(x)) = 0$ for $x \in U$. A holomorphic function defined on Ω is said to be a Nash function if it is a Nash function at every point of Ω . A holomorphic mapping defined on Ω with values in $\mathbf{C}^N$ is said to be a Nash mapping if each of its components is a Nash function.

A subset Y of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ is said to be a Nash subset of Ω if and only if for every $y_0 \in \Omega$ there exists a neighborhood U of y_0 in Ω and there exist Nash functions $f_1, \dots, f_s$ on U such that

$$Y \cap U = \{x \in U : f_1(x) = \dots = f_s(x) = 0\}.$$

The fact from [21] stated below explains the relation between Nash and algebraic sets.

Theorem 2.1 *Let X be an irreducible Nash subset of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. Then there exists an algebraic subset Y of $\mathbf{C}^n$ such that X is an analytic irreducible component of $Y \cap \Omega$. Conversely, every analytic irreducible component of $Y \cap \Omega$ is an irreducible Nash subset of Ω .*

2.2 Convergence of closed sets and holomorphic chains

Let U be an open subset in $\mathbf{C}^m$. By a holomorphic chain in U we mean the formal sum $A = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j C_j$, where $\alpha_j \neq 0$ for $j \in J$ are integers and $\{C_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a locally finite family of pairwise distinct irreducible analytic subsets of U (see [22], cp. also [2], [11]). The set $\bigcup_{j \in J} C_j$ is called the support of A and is denoted by $|A|$ whereas the sets C_j are called the components of A with multiplicities α_j . The chain A is called positive if $\alpha_j > 0$ for all $j \in J$. If all the components of A have the same dimension n then A will be called an n -chain.

Below we introduce the convergence of holomorphic chains in U . To do this we first need the notion of the local uniform convergence of closed sets. Let

Y, Y_ν be closed subsets of U for $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$. We say that $\{Y_\nu\}$ converges to Y locally uniformly if:

- (1l) for every $a \in Y$ there exists a sequence $\{a_\nu\}$ such that $a_\nu \in Y_\nu$ and $a_\nu \rightarrow a$ in the standard topology of $\mathbf{C}^m$,
- (2l) for every compact subset K of U such that $K \cap Y = \emptyset$ it holds $K \cap Y_\nu = \emptyset$ for almost all ν .

Then we write $Y_\nu \rightarrow Y$. For details concerning the topology of local uniform convergence see [22].

We say that a sequence $\{Z_\nu\}$ of positive n -chains converges to a positive n -chain Z if:

- (1c) $|Z_\nu| \rightarrow |Z|$,
- (2c) for each regular point a of $|Z|$ and each submanifold T of U of dimension $m - n$ transversal to $|Z|$ at a such that $\overline{T}$ is compact and $|Z| \cap \overline{T} = \{a\}$, we have $\deg(Z_\nu \cdot T) = \deg(Z \cdot T)$ for almost all ν .

Then we write $Z_\nu \rightarrow Z$. (By $Z \cdot T$ we denote the intersection product of Z and T (cf. [22]). Observe that the chains $Z_\nu \cdot T$ and $Z \cdot T$ for sufficiently large ν have finite supports and the degrees are well defined. Recall that for a chain $A = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \{a_j\}$, $\deg(A) = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j$).

2.3 Normalization of algebraic sets

Let us recall that every affine algebraic set, regarded as an analytic space, has an algebraic normalization (see [17], p. 471). Therefore (in view of the basic properties of normal spaces, see [17], pp. 337, 343) the following theorem, which will be useful in the proof of the main result, holds true.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\tilde{Y}$ be an algebraic subset of $\mathbf{C}^m$. Then there are an integer n and an algebraic subset Z of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$ with $\pi(Z) = \tilde{Y}$, where $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ is the natural projection, satisfying the following properties:*

- (01) Z , regarded as an analytic space, is locally irreducible,
- (02) $\pi|_Z : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ is a proper map,
- (03) $\pi|_{Z \cap (\pi^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{Y})))} : Z \cap (\pi^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{Y}))) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is an injective map.

2.4 Runge domains

We say that P is a polynomial polyhedron in $\mathbf{C}^n$ if there exist polynomials in n complex variables $q_1, \dots, q_s$ and real constants $c_1, \dots, c_s$ such that

$$P = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^n : |q_1(x)| \leq c_1, \dots, |q_s(x)| \leq c_s\}.$$

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.7.3 and Lemma 2.7.4 from [14].

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ be a Runge domain. Then for every $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists a compact polynomial polyhedron $P \subset \Omega$ such that $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \text{Int}P$.*

Theorem 2.7.3 from [14] immediately implies the following

Claim 2.4 *Let P be any polynomial polyhedron in $\mathbf{C}^n$. Then $\text{Int}P$ is a Runge domain in $\mathbf{C}^n$.*

The following fact from [14] (Theorem 2.7.7, p 55) will also be useful to us.

Theorem 2.5 *Let f be a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of a polynomially convex compact set $K \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. Then f can be uniformly approximated on K by polynomials in n complex variables.*

3 Approximation of analytic sets

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1 *Let U be a Runge domain in $\mathbf{C}^k$ and let X be an analytic subset of $U \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k with proper projection onto U . Then there is a sequence $\{X_\nu\}$ of algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^k \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k such that $\{X_\nu \cap (U \times \mathbf{C}^n)\}$ converges to X in the sense of holomorphic chains.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on two results. Firstly, every purely dimensional analytic set with proper and surjective projection onto a Runge domain can be approximated by Nash sets (see Theorem 3.5). Secondly, every complex Nash set with proper projection onto a Runge domain can be approximated by algebraic sets as stated in the following

Proposition 3.2 *Let Y be a Nash subset of $\Omega \times \mathbf{C}$ of pure dimension $k < m$, with proper projection onto Ω , where Ω is a Runge domain in $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$. Then there is a sequence $\{Y_\nu\}$ of algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ of pure dimension k such that $\{Y_\nu \cap (\Omega \times \mathbf{C})\}$ converges to Y in the sense of holomorphic chains.*

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let l be a positive integer and let $\|\cdot\|_l$ denote a norm in $\mathbf{C}^l$. Put $B_l(r) = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^l : \|x\|_l < r\}$. For any analytic subset X of an open subset of $\mathbf{C}^l$ let $X_{(q)}$ denote the union of all q -dimensional irreducible components of X .

To prove the proposition it is clearly sufficient to show that for every open $\Omega_0 \subset \subset \Omega$ and for every real number $r > 0$ the following holds:

- (*) there exists a sequence $\{Y_\nu\}$ of purely k -dimensional algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ such that $\{Y_\nu \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$ converges to $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ in the sense of chains.

Fix an open relatively compact subset Ω_0 of Ω and a real number $r > 0$. Let $\tilde{\pi} : \mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ denote the natural projection.

Claim 3.3 *There exists a purely k -dimensional algebraic subset $\tilde{Y}$ of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ such that $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ is the union of some of the analytic irreducible components of $\tilde{Y} \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$. Moreover, the mapping $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ may be assumed to be proper.*

Proof of Claim 3.3. By Lemma 2.3 we can fix a compact polynomial polyhedron $P \subset \Omega$ such that $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Gamma \subset\subset \Omega$, where $\Gamma = \text{Int}P$. The complex manifold $\text{Reg}_{\mathbf{C}}(Y \cap (\Gamma \times \mathbf{C}))$ is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbf{R}^{2m}$, hence it has a finite number of connected components. Consequently, $Y \cap (\Gamma \times \mathbf{C})$ has finitely many analytic irreducible components. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 there exists a purely k -dimensional algebraic subset $\tilde{Y}$ of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ such that $Y \cap (\Gamma \times \mathbf{C})$ is the union of some of the analytic irreducible components of $\tilde{Y} \cap (\Gamma \times \mathbf{C})$. Then, clearly, $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ is the union of some of the analytic irreducible components of $\tilde{Y} \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$.

If the mapping $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ is proper then the proof is completed. Otherwise, using the facts that $Y \cap (\Gamma \times \mathbf{C}) \subset \tilde{Y} \cap (\Gamma \times \mathbf{C})$ and $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Gamma$, we show that there are a $\mathbf{C}$ -linear isomorphism $\Phi : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$, a Runge domain Ω_1 in $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$, and a real number $s > 0$ such that the following hold:

- (a) the projection of $\Phi(\tilde{Y}) \subset \mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ onto $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ is a proper mapping,
- (b) $\Phi(\Omega_0 \times B_1(r)) \subset \Omega_1 \times B_1(s)$,
- (c) $\Phi(Y) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))$ is a Nash subset of $\Omega_1 \times \mathbf{C}$ whose projection onto Ω_1 is a proper mapping,
- (d) $\Phi(Y) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))$ is the union of some of the irreducible components of $\Phi(\tilde{Y}) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))$.

If there exists a sequence $\{Z_\nu\}$ of purely k -dimensional algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ such that $\{Z_\nu \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))\}$ converges to $\Phi(Y) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))$ in the sense of chains, then $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ is approximated, in view of (b), by $\{\Phi^{-1}(Z_\nu) \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$. Moreover, (c) implies that Ω_1 and $\Phi(Y) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))$ taken in place of Ω and Y respectively satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2. Since, in view of (a) and (d), $\Phi(\tilde{Y})$ is a purely k -dimensional algebraic subset of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ with proper projection onto $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$, containing $\Phi(Y) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s))$, the proof of the claim is completed provided there are Φ , Ω_1 and s satisfying (a), (b), (c) and (d).

Take Ω_1 to be any Runge domain in $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ with $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega_1 \subset\subset \Gamma$. (The existence follows by Lemma 2.3 and Claim 2.4.) Now, since $\dim(\tilde{Y}) = k < m$, by the Sadullaev theorem (see [17], p. 389), the set $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{Y}}$ of one-dimensional linear subspaces l of $\mathbf{C}^m$ such that the projection of $\tilde{Y}$ along l onto the orthogonal complement $l^\perp$ of l in $\mathbf{C}^m$ is proper, is open and dense in the Grassmannian $\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{C}^m)$. Consequently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $l \in \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{Y}}$ so close to $\{0\}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ that there is a $\mathbf{C}$ -linear isomorphism $\Phi_\varepsilon : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ transforming $l, l^\perp$ onto $\{0\}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \{0\}$ respectively such that

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - \text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^m}\| < \varepsilon,$$

where $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^m}$ is the identity on $\mathbf{C}^m$.

Clearly, $\Phi = \Phi_\varepsilon$ satisfies (a) (for every $\varepsilon > 0$). Now, by the facts that Y is a Nash subset of $\Omega \times \mathbf{C}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}|_Y : Y \rightarrow \Omega$ is a proper map and $\Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega$, there is a real number $s > r$ such that

$$(\overline{\Omega_1} \times \partial B_1(s)) \cap Y = \emptyset.$$

This implies that $\Phi = \Phi_\varepsilon$ satisfies (c), if ε is sufficiently small. Next, the facts $\Omega_0 \subset \subset \Omega_1$ and $s > r$ imply that $\Phi = \Phi_\varepsilon$ satisfies (b), for small ε . Finally, by $\Omega_1 \subset \subset \Gamma$ we get $\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\Omega_1 \times B_1(s)) \subset \Gamma \times \mathbf{C}$, if ε is small enough. Therefore

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(Y) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s)) \subset \Phi_\varepsilon(\tilde{Y}) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B_1(s)),$$

which easily implies that (d) is satisfied with $\Phi = \Phi_\varepsilon$. Thus the proof is completed. ■

Proof of Proposition 3.2 (continuation). By Theorem 2.2 there are an integer n and a locally irreducible (regarded as an analytic space), purely k -dimensional algebraic subset Z of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$ such that the restriction $\pi|_Z$ of the natural projection $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ is a proper mapping, $\pi(Z) = \tilde{Y}$ and

$$\pi|_{Z \cap (\pi^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{Y})))} : Z \cap (\pi^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{Y}))) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$$

is an injective mapping.

We may assume that $(\tilde{Y} \setminus Y) \cap (\Omega \times \mathbf{C}) \neq \emptyset$, because otherwise

$$Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r)) = \tilde{Y} \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$$

and one can take $Y_\nu = \tilde{Y}$, for every $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$.

Now, the Nash subsets E and F of $\Omega \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n$ defined by

$$E = (Z \cap \pi^{-1}(Y))_{(k)} \text{ and } F = (Z \cap \pi^{-1}(\overline{\tilde{Y} \setminus Y}) \cap (\Omega \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n))_{(k)},$$

where the closure is taken in $\Omega \times \mathbf{C}$, satisfy $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Indeed, if there exists some $a \in E \cap F$, then Z (regarded as an analytic space) is not irreducible at a because

$$Z \cap (\Omega \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n) = E \cup F,$$

and $\dim(E \cap F) < k$. Consequently, the sets

$$\tilde{E} = E \cap (P \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n) \text{ and } \tilde{F} = F \cap (P \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n)$$

also satisfy $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{F} = \emptyset$, where $P \subset \Omega$ is a fixed compact polynomial polyhedron such that $\Omega_0 \subset P$. (The existence of P follows by Lemma 2.3.)

By Claim 3.3, we may assume that the mapping $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{Y}}$ is proper. Then the mapping $\hat{\pi}|_Z : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ is proper as well, where $\hat{\pi} = \tilde{\pi} \circ \pi$. This implies that both $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{F}$ are compact. Moreover, the mapping $(\hat{\pi}, p)|_Z : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ is proper for every polynomial $p : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Now the idea of the proof is to find a sequence $\{p_\nu\}$ of polynomials defined on $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$ with the following properties:

- (0) $\{p_\nu|_{\tilde{E}}\}$ converges uniformly to the mapping $(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto x_m$,
- (1) $\inf_{b \in \tilde{F}} |p_\nu(b)| > r$ for almost all ν .

Then we show that the sequence $\{(\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(Z)\}$ of purely k -dimensional algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^m$ is as required in the condition (*): $\{(\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(Z) \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$ converges to $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ in the sense of chains.

Claim 3.4 *There exists a sequence $\{p_\nu\}$ of polynomials in $m+n$ complex variables, satisfying (0) and (1).*

Proof of Claim 3.4. Firstly, by the fact that $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{F} = \emptyset$, there is an open subset U of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $U = U_1 \cup U_2$, where U_1, U_2 are open subsets of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$, $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and $\tilde{E} \subset U_1, \tilde{F} \subset U_2$.

Secondly abbreviate $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and note that the function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $f(x, y) = x_m$ on U_1 and $f(x, y) = r + 1$ on U_2 is holomorphic.

Thirdly observe that, since Z is an algebraic subset of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$ with proper projection onto $\mathbf{C}^m$, and $\pi(Z) = \tilde{Y}$ is an algebraic subset of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ with proper projection onto $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$, and P is a compact polynomial polyhedron in $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$, the union $\tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F}$ is a compact polynomial polyhedron (and hence a polynomially convex compact set) in $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$. Indeed, there are $s, \tilde{s} > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F} = Z \cap (P \times \overline{B_1(s)} \times \overline{B_n(\tilde{s})})$$

and the righthand side of the latter equation is clearly described by a finite number of inequalities of the form $|Q(x, y)| \leq c$, where Q is a complex polynomial, and c is a real constant (possibly equal to zero).

Lastly, since f is a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of a polynomially convex compact set $\tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F}$, it is sufficient to apply Theorem 2.5 to obtain a sequence $\{p_\nu\}$ of complex polynomials in $m+n$ variables converging uniformly to f on $\tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F}$. Clearly, every such sequence satisfies (0) and (1). ■

Proof of Proposition 3.2 (end). Let $\{p_\nu\}$ be a sequence of polynomials satisfying the assertion of Claim 3.4. We check that the sequence $\{Y_\nu\}$ defined by

$$Y_\nu = (\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(Z), \text{ for } \nu \in \mathbf{N},$$

satisfies the condition (*), which is sufficient to complete the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Every Y_ν is a purely k -dimensional algebraic subset of $\mathbf{C}^m$ because the mapping $(\hat{\pi}, p_\nu) : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ is polynomial, its restriction $(\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)|_Z$ is proper and Z is a purely k -dimensional algebraic subset of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^n$. Hence it remains to check that $\{Y_\nu \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$ converges to $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ in the sense of chains.

To see that $\{Y_\nu \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$ converges to $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ locally uniformly (c.f. (11) and (21), Section 2.2) it is sufficient to observe that

$$Y_\nu \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r)) = (\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(\tilde{E}) \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r)),$$

for ν large enough, whereas

$$Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r)) = \pi(\tilde{E}) \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r)).$$

The first equality follows directly by (0), (1) and the definitions of $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{F}$. The latter one is an obvious consequence of the definition of $\tilde{E}$. Moreover, (0)

implies that $(\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)|_{\tilde{E}}$ converges to $\pi|_{\tilde{E}}$ uniformly which in turn implies that both (1l) and (2l) are satisfied.

To finish the proof, let us verify that $\{Y_\nu \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$ and $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ satisfy (2c) (of Section 2.2). Suppose that it is not true. Then there exist a k -dimensional $\mathbf{C}$ -linear subspace l of $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \{0\}$ and open balls C_1, C_2 in $l, l^\perp$ respectively, where $l^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal complement of l in $\mathbf{C}^m$, such that $\overline{C_1 + C_2} \subset \Omega_0 \times B_1(r)$ and the following hold:

- (a) $Y \cap (\overline{C_1} + \partial C_2) = \emptyset$ and $(\tilde{Y} \setminus Y) \cap \overline{(C_1 + C_2)} = \emptyset$,
- (b) every fiber of the projection of $Y \cap (C_1 + C_2)$ onto C_1 is 1-element,
- (c) the generic fiber of the projection of $Y_\nu \cap (C_1 + C_2)$ onto C_1 is at least 2-element for infinitely many ν .

The existence of $l \subset \mathbf{C}^m$ (and C_1, C_2) as above is a direct consequence of the assumption that (2c) does not hold. Since the projection of $\tilde{Y} \subset \mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ onto $\mathbf{C}^{m-1}$ is a proper mapping, the subspace l can be chosen in such a way that it is contained in $\mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \{0\}$.

The conditions (a), (b) and the facts that $\pi|_{Z \cap (\pi^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{Y})))}$ is injective and $\pi|_Z$ is proper imply that

$$Z \cap ((C_1 + C_2) \times \mathbf{C}^n) = \text{graph}(G),$$

where $G \in \mathcal{O}(C_1, C_2 \times \mathbf{C}^n)$. Consequently, by (0) and the inclusion $l \subset \mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \{0\}$, for ν large enough,

$$T_\nu := (\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(\text{graph}(G))$$

is a k -dimensional analytic subset of $C_1 + C_2$ whose projection onto C_1 has 1-element fibers. Therefore, by (c), for infinitely many ν , there is an analytic subset H_ν of $C_1 + C_2$ of pure dimension k such that $\dim(T_\nu \cap H_\nu) < k$ and $T_\nu \cup H_\nu = Y_\nu \cap (C_1 + C_2)$.

On the other hand, for large ν , it follows that

$$H_\nu \subset (\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(\text{graph}(G)) = T_\nu,$$

which clearly contradicts the fact that $\dim(T_\nu \cap H_\nu) < k$. The inclusion holds because, as observed previously, for large ν we have

$$H_\nu \subset Y_\nu \cap (C_1 + C_2) = (\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(\tilde{E}) \cap (C_1 + C_2).$$

Moreover, by (0), (a) and the fact that $l \subset \mathbf{C}^{m-1} \times \{0\}$ it holds

$$(\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(\tilde{E}) \cap (C_1 + C_2) = (\hat{\pi}, p_\nu)(\tilde{E} \cap ((C_1 + C_2) \times \mathbf{C}^n)),$$

which implies that $H_\nu \subset T_\nu$ as

$$\tilde{E} \cap ((C_1 + C_2) \times \mathbf{C}^n) \subset \text{graph}(G).$$

Thus $\{Y_\nu \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))\}$ and $Y \cap (\Omega_0 \times B_1(r))$ satisfy (2c) and the proof of Proposition 3.2 is completed. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us first recall that for analytic covers there exist Nash approximations (for details see [4] or [6], or [8]):

Theorem 3.5 *Let U be a connected Runge domain in $\mathbf{C}^k$ and let X be an analytic subset of $U \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k with proper projection onto U . Then for every open relatively compact subset V of U there is a sequence of Nash subsets of $V \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k with proper projection onto V , converging to $X \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)$ in the sense of holomorphic chains.*

Fix a Runge domain U in $\mathbf{C}^k$ and an analytic subset X of $U \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k with proper projection onto U . Clearly, in order to prove Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to prove the following

Claim 3.6 *For every open $V \subset\subset U$ there exists a sequence $\{X_\nu\}$ of purely k -dimensional algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^k \times \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $\{X_\nu \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)\}$ converges to $X \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)$ in the sense of chains.*

Let us prove the claim. Fix an open $V \subset\subset U$. Since, without loss of generality, V can be replaced by a larger relatively compact Runge subdomain of U (cf. Section 2.4) we may assume that V is a Runge domain. By Theorem 3.5, there is a sequence $\{Z_\nu\}$ of purely k -dimensional Nash subsets of $V \times \mathbf{C}^n$, with proper projection onto V , converging to $X \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)$ in the sense of chains. (Formally in Theorem 3.5, U is assumed to be connected, but this assumption can be easily omitted by treating every connected component of U separately.)

For every ν , by Proposition 3.2 applied with $Y = Z_\nu$, $\Omega = V \times \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ and $m = n + k$, there is a sequence $\{Y_{\nu,\mu}\}$ of algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^k \times \mathbf{C}^n$ of pure dimension k such that $\{Y_{\nu,\mu} \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)\}$ converges to Z_ν in the sense of chains. Clearly, there is a function $\alpha : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ such that $\{Y_{\nu,\alpha(\nu)} \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)\}$ converges to $X \cap (V \times \mathbf{C}^n)$. Thus the proofs of Claim 3.6 and Theorem 3.1 are completed. ■

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following

Corollary 3.7 *Let X be a purely k -dimensional analytic subset of some open $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^m$. Then for every $a \in X$ there are an open neighborhood U of a in Ω and a sequence $\{X_\nu\}$ of purely k -dimensional algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{C}^m$ such that $\{X_\nu \cap U\}$ converges to $X \cap U$ in the sense of holomorphic chains.*

Acknowledgement. I express my gratitude to Professor Marek Jarnicki for information on polynomial approximation of holomorphic functions. I also wish to thank Dr. Marcin Dumnicki and Dr. Rafał Czyż for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Artin, M.: *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings.* Publ. I.H.E.S. **36**, 23-58 (1969)
- [2] Barlet, D.: *Espace analytique réduit des cycles analytiques complexes compacts d'un espace analytique complexe de dimension finie.* Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, II, Sémin. François Norguet, 1974-1975, Lecture Notes in Math., **482**, pp. 1-158, Springer, Berlin 1975

- [3] Bilski, M.: *On approximation of analytic sets*. Manuscripta Math. **114**, 45-60 (2004)
- [4] Bilski, M.: *Approximation of analytic sets with proper projection by Nash sets*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **341**, 747-750 (2005)
- [5] Bilski, M.: *Approximation of analytic sets by Nash tangents of higher order*. Math. Z. **256**, 705-716 (2007)
- [6] Bilski, M.: *Algebraic approximation of analytic sets and mappings*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **90**, 312-327 (2008)
- [7] Bilski, M.: *Approximation of analytic sets along Nash subvarieties*. Preprint
- [8] Bilski, M.: *Approximation of sets defined by polynomials with holomorphic coefficients*. Preprint
- [9] Bochnak, J., Kucharz, W.: *Approximation of holomorphic maps by algebraic morphisms*. Ann. Polon. Math. **80**, 85-92 (2003)
- [10] Braun, R. W., Meise, R., Taylor, B. A.: *Higher order tangents to analytic varieties along curves*. Canad. J. Math. **55**, 64-90 (2003)
- [11] Chirka, E. M.: *Complex analytic sets*. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London 1989
- [12] Demailly, J.-P., Lempert, L., Shiffman, B.: *Algebraic approximation of holomorphic maps from Stein domains to projective manifolds*. Duke Math. J. **76**, 333-363 (1994)
- [13] Forstnerič, F.: *Holomorphic flexibility properties of complex manifolds*. Amer. J. Math. **128**, 239-270 (2006)
- [14] Hörmander, L.: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo 1991
- [15] Kucharz, W.: *The Runge approximation problem for holomorphic maps into Grassmannians*. Math. Z. **218**, 343-348 (1995)
- [16] Lempert, L.: *Algebraic approximations in analytic geometry*. Invent. Math. **121**, 335-354 (1995)
- [17] Łojasiewicz, S.: *Introduction to complex analytic geometry*. Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, 1991
- [18] Tancredi, A., Tognoli, A.: *Relative approximation theorems of Stein manifolds by Nash manifolds*. Boll. Un. Mat. It. **3-A**, 343-350 (1989)
- [19] Tancredi, A., Tognoli, A.: *On the extension of Nash functions*. Math. Ann. **288**, 595-604 (1990)

- [20] Tancredi, A., Tognoli, A.: *On the relative Nash approximation of analytic maps*. Rev. Mat. Complut. **11**, 185-201 (1998)
- [21] Tworzewski, P.: *Intersections of analytic sets with linear subspaces*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa **17**, 227-271 (1990)
- [22] Tworzewski, P.: *Intersection theory in complex analytic geometry*. Ann. Polon. Math., **62.2** 177-191 (1995)